

Department of Electrical Engineering, IIT Delhi

ELL784 Introduction to Machine Learning: Minor Examination

(Open book/Open Notes) Time: 1 hour

Maximum Marks: 37

“Thou shalt not covet thy neighbour’s answers”

Name:

Entry No.

Assume all symbols to have their ‘usual’ meanings, as done in the class. No queries allowed: make suitable assumptions. Appropriate marks will be assigned according to their ‘reasonability’.

1. Consider the $t_i = y(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w})$ example as done in class (and all symbols have their meanings, as done in class). Our model for explaining t_i is $\hat{y}_i = \mathbf{w}^T \phi(\mathbf{x}_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} w_j \phi_j(\mathbf{x}_i)$. Collect all such \hat{y}_i to get a column vector $\hat{\mathbf{y}}$, just as we collect all t_i s to get \mathbf{t} .
 - (a) Use the expression of \mathbf{w}_{ML} to derive \mathbf{H} , where $\hat{\mathbf{y}} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{t}$.
 - (b) Given that we usually have $N \gg M$, what is the maximum rank of \mathbf{H} ?
 - (c) Show that \mathbf{H} is a symmetric matrix
 - (d) Show that $\mathbf{H}^n = \mathbf{H}$
 - (e) Show that \mathbf{H} has 1 as an eigenvalue
 - (f) Show that \mathbf{H} has 0 as an eigenvalue (1+2+2+2+3+2 marks)
2. Show that under the SVD construction, \mathbf{u}_i the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}^T$ with the corresponding eigenvalues σ_i^2 . (5 marks)
3. For a matrix $\mathbf{B}_{k \times k}$, the scalar $\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x}$ is called a quadratic form. Show that \mathbf{B} is symmetric. Why is this result a bit shocking? (2+1 marks)
4. The Stauffer-Grimson Background subtraction method learns the mixture of Gaussians parameters possibly by an EM algorithm, from the statistics for the first given τ frames. Hence, the π_j s calculated here are probabilities. For data from the $\tau + 1$ th frame, the updating rule is

$$\pi_j^{\tau+1} = (1 - \alpha)\pi_j^\tau + \alpha\delta_{j, \text{top match}}^{\tau+1}$$

All the notation used is as done in class, and all symbols have their ‘usual’ meanings. δ is the Kronecker Delta, and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is the learning rate. Is a re-normalisation necessary, to ensure that all π_j s remain probabilities? (4 marks)

5. Consider a set of N people checked for a disease, with a screening test, and

	H	D
screen= H	n_1	n_2
screen= D	n_3	n_4

knowing the ground truth (Healthy(H) or Diseased(D)). The following table is to be interpreted as follows: n_1 is the number of people for whom the screening test returns H and they are actually healthy.

You are given $p(H) = \theta$, $p(\text{screen} = H|H) = \alpha$, $p(\text{screen} = D|D) = \beta$.

- (a) Write the relation(s) between the n_i and N
- (b) If the screening test is relatively effective, comment on the diagonals of the given matrix
- (c) Compute $p(\text{screen} = H, H)$ and the other three joint probabilities corresponding to the four entries of this table
- (d) Compute the joint likelihood of all the given data, with a suitable ‘reasonable’ assumption
- (e) Find the ML estimate of θ

	H	D
screen= H	0	K_1
screen= D	K_2	0

You are given this *Loss matrix*, where the cost of each decision is mentioned. Compute the expected loss of this screening test in terms of all given parameters (1+1+4+2+3+2 marks)