

## MCL 732, Minor I (15 Marks)

1. An urban receptor site measured the concentration of  $PM_{2.5}$  as  $140 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ . The site measures two species (A, B) and their concentrations were  $1.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  and  $3.4 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$  respectively. Species A is reactive, and loses ( $\alpha$ )% per km while it is transported downstream. Analysis on two major sources (X, Y) suggest that species A contributes 1.11% to source X and 2% to source Y, whereas species B contributes 3% to source X and 4.5% to source Y. The receptor site is located very close to source Y and 10km away from source X.

- I. Calculate the source contributions in  $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ , assuming  $\alpha = 1$  (5)
  - II. Describe how the sensitivity of source apportionment with respect to  $\alpha$  can be quantitatively evaluated (2)
  - III. Is the source apportionment complete, as conducted in part I. If yes, explain how. If no, explain how it could be made complete (1)
2. I. What possible physical factors can cause source contributions to change from sample to sample? (2)
- II. Can we do sample by sample source apportionment in PCA? If so, how and if not, why not? Repeat your answers in case of CMB. (2)
3. I. Give possible physical reasons or scenarios behind negative values in the PCA correlation matrix for an air pollution dataset (2)
- II. Reason why trace amount of CO will always be present in the atmosphere even if there were no CO emissions (1)

09

$$1 \text{ km} \cdot \left( \frac{100-\alpha}{100} \times 1g \right)$$

$$2 \left( \frac{100-\alpha}{100} \right)^2 1g$$